IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 443 OF 2004

VILLAGE PANCHAYAT SURLA AND 3 ORS., ...Applicants

Versus

STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS CHIEF SECRETARY & 15 ORS. ....Respondents

Mr. S. N. Joshi, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. R. Chodankar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. C. A. Fereira, Asst. Solicitor General for respodents No.6 and 7.

Mr. S. D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Mr. M. D'Souza, Advocate for respondent No.9.

Mr. Sudesh Usgaonkar, Advocate for respondent No.10.

Mr. S. Singbal, Advocate for respondent No.11.

Mr. J. Godinho, Advocate for respondent No.12.

Mr. M. S. Sonak, Advocate for respondents No.8 and 14.

Coram:- S.K. SHAH &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 5th February, 2007

P.C.

The learned Counsel for the applicants states that he is pressing only the amendment as specified in para 3 of the application and giving up the prayer for amendment incorporated in para (2) of the application. The learned Counsel for the respondents have no objection. The

application is, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clause (a), limited to para (3) of the application for incorporating the prayer "el". Rest of the prayers for amendment incorporated in para 2 of this application are not pressed and, therefore, they are dismissed. The necessary amendment shall be carried out within two weeks. The amended copies of the petition shall be supplied to the other side. The application stands disposed of. The writ petition shall come up after four weeks.

S.K. SHAH, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ssm.